

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5th ST., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

09 APR 15 AM 9:39

ENVIRONMENT ALL PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION VII

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

DOCKET NO. CWA-07-2008-0032

On <u>April 16, 2007</u>

At: <u>E. 2nd Avenue, Arnold, Nebraska,</u>

Owned or operated by Nansel Oil, Inc., an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURE INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1,150.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$1,150, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," via certified mail to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check Docket No. CWA-07-2008-0032 and "OSLTF – 311." The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

Paula Higbee
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 7, AWMD/STOP
901 N. 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA:
Chief, Storage Tank and Oil Pollution Branch (STOP Air and Waste Management Division
APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:
Name (print): Ralph Wansel
Title (print): Owner
Signature: Rolph Nansel
Date: 3/3//08
The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is: \$ 3,000

Date 1191. 15, 2008

IT IS-80 ORDERED

Regional Judicial Officer



Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Respondent: Docket Numb		Docket Number:		
Nansel Oil, Inc.		C W A 2 0 0 8 - 0 0 3 2		
Facility Nam	ne:	Date:		
		April 16, 2007		
Address:		Inspection Number:		
102 S. Carro	11	F Y - I N S P -		
City:	,	Inspector's Name:		
Arnold	•	Bjorn Brinkman		
State:	Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:		
NE	69120	Stan Walker, Branch Chief, AMWD/STOP		
Contact:		Enforcement Contacts:		
Ralph Nanse	1	Paula Higbee 913-551-7028		
(Bulk Storage Facilities) GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.)				
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds	(b), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.)		
No Spil	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds	I), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d)		
	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds) Prevention Control and Countermeasure P	(b), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.)		
Plan not	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds) Prevention Control and Countermeasure P t certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(l), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.)		
Plan not	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds) Prevention Control and Countermeasure P t certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(a) agement approval of plan- 112.7	(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.) (d)		
Plan not No man Plan not	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds) Prevention Control and Countermeasure P t certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(agement approval of plan- 112.7	(d). (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.) (d)		
Plan not Plan not Plan not	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds) I Prevention Control and Countermeasure P t certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(a) agement approval of plan- 112.7	(d)		
Plan not No man Plan not Plan not No evid No plan	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (decomposition of the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds of Prevention Control and Countermeasure Part certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(a) agement approval of plan- 112.7	(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.) lan-1/12.3		
Plan not No man Plan not Plan not No evid No plan or maint	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (decomposition of the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds of Prevention Control and Countermeasure Part certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(decomposition of plan- 112.7	(a), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.) (a)		
Plan not No man Plan not Plan not No evid No plan or maint Amendr	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (decomposition of the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds of the Prevention Control and Countermeasure Part certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(a) against approval of plan- 112.7	(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.) (a)		

	Plan does not discuss conformance with SPCC requirement- 112.7(a)(1)	50.00
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2)	50.00
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)	50.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description of the physical layout of the facility-112.7(a)(3)(i-vi)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)	.100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)	100.00
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)	.100.00
	Plan does not discuss appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment- 112.7(c)	.100.00
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:	
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated- 112.7(d)	.400.00
	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)	.100.00
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	.100.00
Average	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of conformance with SPCC rules or applicable State rules, regulations and guidelines- 112.7(j)	50.00
		. 50.00
<u></u>	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)	
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)	. 50.00
		. 50.00
	procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)	
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	. 50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	. 50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	. 50.00
	procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e) - Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e) Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e) Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e) PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	. 50.00
	procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e) - Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e) Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e) Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)	. 50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e) Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e) Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e) PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f) No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 112.7(f)(1)	. 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00
	procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)	. 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e) Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e) Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e) PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f) No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 112.7(f)(1) No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)	. 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e) Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e) Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e) PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f) No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 112.7(f)(1) No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations- 112.7(f)(1) No training on general facility operations- 112.7(f)(1)	. 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records: Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	. 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00 . 50.00

	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures
	SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production- 112.7(g)(1)
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g)(2)
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g)(3)
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)(4)
	Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)(5)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security
	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK 112.7(h)
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1)
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2)200.00
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM DIKED AREAS 112.8(b) & (c)
	Valves used for drainage from diked storage areas to drainage system, watercourse, or effluent treatment system not controlled to prevent a discharge- 112.8(b)(2)
	Run-off rainwater from diked areas is not inspected- 112.8(c)(3)(ii)
X	Valves not opened and resealed under responsible supervision- 112.8(c)(3)(iii)
	Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained- 112.8(c)(3)(iv) 50.00
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM UNDIKED AREAS 112.8(b)
	Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility- 112.8(b)(3)&(4)
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment unit- 112.8(b)(5)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage

BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)

	•
	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature- 112.8(c)(1)
X.	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate- 112.8(c)(2)
X	Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil- 112.8(c)(2) 250.00
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity and/or walls slightly eroded
	Containment bypass valves are not sealed closed when not draining rainwater- 112.8(c)(3)(i)
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing- 112.8(c)(4)
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections- 112.8(c)(6)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc 112.8(c)(6)
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6) 100.00
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Cor	ntainer installations are not engineered if:
	No audible or visual high liquid level alarm- 112.8(c)(8)(i), or
	No high liquid level pump cutoff devices- 112.8(c)(8)(ii), or
	No audible or code signal communications between tank gauger and pumping station-112.8(c)(8)(iii), or300.00
	No fast response system for determining liquid levels, such as computers, telepulse or direct vision gauges- 112.8(c)(8)(iv)
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation- 112.8(c)(8)(v)
	Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills- 112.8(c)(9)
X	Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected- 112.8(c)(10) 300.00
	Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water- 112.8(c)(11)
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks- 112.8(c)(11)

Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)
Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1)100.00
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1)300.00
Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2)50.00
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- 112.8(d)(3)
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations- 112.8(d)(5)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process
TOTAL \$1.150.00

IN THE MATTER OF Nansel Oil, Inc., Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2007-0032

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Kristina Gonzales Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Ralph Nansel, Owner Nansel Oil, Inc. 102 S. Carroll Arnold, Nebraska 69120

Dated: 415108

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7